arXiv:1411.3233v2 [math.RT] 14 Nov 2014

SOME POWER SERIES INVOLVING INVOLUTIONS IN COXETER GROUPS

G. Lusztig

Introduction

0.1. Let (W,S) be a Coxeter group such that the canonical set of generators S of W is finite and let $w\mapsto w^*$ be an involutive automorphism of W preserving S. Let $l:W\to\mathbf{N}$ be the usual length function and let $\le$ be the standard partial order on W. The Poincaré series of W is the formal power series $\mathbf{P}(u)=\sum_{w\in W}u^{l(w)}\in\mathbf{Z}[[u]]$ where u is an indeterminate. We set $\mathbf{P}_*(u)=\sum_{w\in W;w=w^*}u^{l(w)}\in\mathbf{Z}[[u]]$. Let $\mathbf{I}_*=\{w\in W;w^*=w^{-1}\}$. It is easy to show (see for example [L1]) that there is a unique function $\phi:\mathbf{I}_*\to\mathbf{N}$ such that $\phi(1)=0$ and such that for any $w\in\mathbf{I}_*$ and any $s\in S$ with $sw<w$ we have $\phi(w)=\phi(sw)+1$ (if $sw=ws^*$) and $\phi(w)=\phi(sws^*)$ (if $sw\ne ws^*$). We set

$$\mathcal{R}(u)=\sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*}u^{l(z)}(\frac{u-1}{u+1})^{\phi(z)}\in\mathbf{Z}[[u]].$$

(The definition of $\mathcal{R}(u)$ appeared in [L1] in the case where W is finite, but the definition clearly makes sense in the general case.) We say that $W,*$ has property **X** if

$$\mathcal{R}(u)=\mathbf{P}(u^2)/\mathbf{P}_*(u).$$

In [L1] it was proved (case by case) that $W,*$ has property **X** if W is finite. In [MW] it is proved that $W,1$ has property **X** if W is an affine Weyl group of type A and the question of the validity of **X** for any $W,*$ is raised. We state the following result.

Theorem 0.2. *$W,*$ has property* **X**.

The proof is given in 1.11. The most complicated part of the proof is a property stated in Proposition 1.8. In Section 2 we give an alternative proof of this property assuming that W is a Weyl group. (The same proof applies in the case where W is the "Weyl group" associated to a Kac-Moody Lie algebra and $*$ is induced by an involutive automorphism of that Lie algebra.)

Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1303060 and by a Simons Fellowship.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

1. The polynomials X_y^z

1.1. Let $W, S, *, l, \mathbf{I}_*$ be as in 0.1. Let u be an indeterminate.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the free $\mathcal{A}$-module with basis $(T_w)_{w\in W}$ with the unique $\mathcal{A}$-algebra structure with unit T_1 such that

$T_wT_{w'} = T_{ww'}$ if $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$ and

$(T_s + 1)(T_s - u^2) = 0$ for all $s \in S$.

(This is an Iwahori-Hecke algebra.) Let M be the free $\mathcal{A}$-module with basis $\{a_w; w \in \mathbf{I}_*\}$. According to [LV] (when W is a Weyl group) and [L1] (in the general case) there is a unique $\mathfrak{H}$-module structure on M (extending the $\mathcal{A}$-module structure) such that for any $s \in S$ and any $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$ we have

(i) $T_sa_z = ua_z + (u + 1)a_{sz}$ if $sz = zs^* > z$;

(ii) $T_sa_z = (u^2 - u - 1)a_z + (u^2 - u)a_{sz}$ if $sz = zs^* < z$;

(iii) $T_sa_z = a_{szs^*}$ if $sz \neq zs^* > z$;

(iv) $T_sa_z = (u^2 - 1)a_z + u^2a_{szs^*}$ if $sz \neq zs^* < z$.

Proposition 1.2. *There is a unique collection of polynomials $X_y^z \in \mathbf{Z}[u]$ indexed by $(z, y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$ such that*

(★) $X_1^z = \delta_{z,1}$ for all $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$

and such that for any $s \in S, y \in W$ with $y > ys$ and any $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$ we have

(i) $X_y^z = uX_{ys}^z + (u + 1)X_{ys}^{sz}$ if $sz = zs^* > z$;

(ii) $X_y^z = (u^2 - u - 1)X_{ys}^z + (u^2 - u)X_{ys}^{sz}$ if $sz = zs^* < z$;

(iii) $X_y^z = X_{ys}^{szs^*}$ if $sz \neq zs^* > z$;

(iv) $X_y^z = (u^2 - 1)X_{ys}^z + u^2X_{ys}^{szs^*}$ if $sz \neq zs^* < z$.

Moreover we have $X_y^z \in u^{l(z)}\mathbf{Z}[u]$ for any $(z, y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$.

Let $\xi : M \to \mathcal{A}$ be the $\mathcal{A}$-linear function such that $\xi(a_z) = \delta_{z,1}$. For $(z, y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$ we set $X_y^z = \xi(T_ya_z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then clearly ★ holds. If $s \in S, y \in W$ satisfy $y > ys$ then $T_y = T_{ys}T_s$; hence applying T_{ys} to the formulas 1.1(i)-(iv) we see that X_y^z satisfy (i)-(iv) (but they are in $\mathcal{A}$ instead of $\mathbf{Z}[u]$). Now from ★ and (i)-(iv) we see by induction on $l(y)$ that X_y^z are uniquely determined and that $X_y^z \in u^{l(z)}\mathbf{Z}[u]$ for any $(z, y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$. The proposition is proved.

1.3. The $\mathcal{A}$-module M in 1.1 can be viewed as an $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\hat{M}$ which consists of all formal $\mathcal{A}$-linear combinations of the elements $\{a_z; z \in \mathbf{I}_*\}$. The $\mathfrak{H}$-module structure on M extends in an obvious way to an $\mathfrak{H}$-module structure on $\hat{M}$. We extend $\xi : M \to \mathcal{A}$ to a $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\hat{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ (denoted again by ξ) by $\sum_z c_za_z \mapsto c_1$ (here $c_z \in \mathcal{A}$). We show:

$$\text{(a)} \qquad T_y(\sum_z a_z) = v^{2l(y)} \sum_z a_z \text{ for any } y \in W.$$

It is enough to prove this in the case where $y = s \in S$. For any $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$ we set $s \bullet z = sz$ if $sz = zs^*$ and $s \bullet z = szs^*$ if $sz \neq zs^*$. From the definition for any $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$ such that $sz < z$ we have

$$T_s(a_z + a_{s\bullet z}) = u^2(a_z + a_{s\bullet z})$$

hence

$$T_s(\sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*} a_z) = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*;sz<z} T_s(a_z + a_{s\bullet z}) = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*;sz<z} u^2(a_z + a_{s\bullet z}) = u^2 \sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*} a_z.$$

This completes the proof of (a).

Applying ξ to (a) we obtain for any $y \in W$:

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*} X_y^z = v^{2l(y)}. \tag{b}$$

Note that in the left hand side we have $X_y^z = 0$ for all but finitely many z. Indeed if $(z, y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$ and $l(z) > 2l(y)$, then $X_y^z = 0$. (This can be seen from 1.7 or directly from definitions by induction on $l(y)$.)

Proposition 1.4. *Let $s \in S$, $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$ be such that $sz < z$ and let $y \in W$. We have:*
(i) $(u+1)X_y^z = -uX_y^{sz} + X_{ys}^{sz}$ if $sz = zs^*, ys > y$;
(ii) $(u+1)X_y^z = u^2X_{ys}^{sz} + (u^2-u-1)X_y^{sz}$ if $sz = zs^*, ys < y$;
(iii) $X_y^z = X_{ys}^{szs^*}$ if $sz \neq zs^*, ys > y$;
(iv) $X_y^z = u^2X_{ys}^{szs^*} + (u^2-1)X_y^{szs^*}$ if $sz \neq zs^*, ys < y$.

From 1.2(i) we obtain $X_{ys}^{sz} = uX_y^{sz} + (u+1)X_y^z$ if $sz = zs^*, ys > y$. Clearly this yields (i). In 1.2(ii) we substitute $X_{sy}^z = -\frac{u}{u+1}X_{sy}^{sz} + \frac{1}{u+1}X_y^{sz} \in \mathbf{Q}(u)$ (with $ys < y$) which follows from (i); we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_y^z &= (u^2-u-1)(-\frac{u}{u+1}X_{sy}^{sz} + \frac{1}{u+1}X_y^{sz}) + (u^2-u)X_{ys}^{sz} \\ &= \frac{u^2}{u+1}X_{ys}^{sz} + \frac{u^2-u-1}{u+1}X_y^{sz} \end{aligned}$$

so that (ii) holds. Now (iii) clearly follows from 1.2(iii). In 1.2(iv) we substitute $X_{ys}^z = X_y^{szs^*}$ which follows from (iii); we obtain (iv). The proposition is proved.

From the proposition we deduce the following result.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$, $y \in W$, $s \in S$. We have:*
(i) $X_y^z + X_{ys}^z = u^2(X_y^{szs^*} + X_{ys}^{szs^*})$ if $sz \neq zs^* < z$;
(ii) $(u+1)(X_y^z + X_{ys}^z) = (u^2-u)(X_y^{sz} + X_{ys}^{sz})$ if $sz = zs^* < z$.

1.6. For any $m \in M$ we write $m = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*}(a_z : m)a_z$ where $(a_z : m) \in \mathcal{A}$ are zero for all but finitely many z. For any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ we write $h = \sum_{w\in W}[T_w : h]T_w$ where $[T_w : h] \in \mathcal{A}$ are zero for all but finitely many w.

For $z' \in \mathbf{I}_*, s \in S$ we show:

(i) $T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*} = cT_{z'} + c'T_{sz'}$ where $c, c' \in \mathbf{Z}[u] - \{0\}$, if $sz' = z's^*$;

(ii) $T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*} = T_{sz's^*}$ if $sz' \neq z's^* > z$;

(iii) $T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*} = u^4T_{sz's^*} + (u^2-1)^2T_{z'} + u^2(u^2-1)T_{sz'} + u^2(u^2-1)T_{z's^*}$ if $sz' \neq z's^* < z'$.

In (i) assume first that $sz' > z'$. Then $T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*} = T_sT_{z's^*} = u^2T_{z'} + (u^2-1)T_{sz'}$. Now assume that in (i) we have $sz < z$. Then

$$T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*} = T_sT_sT_{sz'}T_{s^*} = T_sT_sT_{z'} = u^2T_{z'} + (u^2-1)T_sT_{z'}$$
$$= u^2T_{z'} + u^2(u^2-1)T_{sz'} + (u^2-1)^2T_{z'}.$$

Now (ii) is obvious. In (iii) we have

$$T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*} = T_sT_sT_{sz's^*}T_{s^*}T_{s^*}$$
$$= u^4T_{sz's^*} + (u^2-1)^2T_{z'} + u^2(u^2-1)T_{sz'} + u^2(u^2-1)T_{z's^*},$$

as desired.

For $s \in S$ and $z, z' \in \mathbf{I}_*$ we show:

(a) If $(a_z : T_sa_{z'}) \neq 0$ then $[T_z : T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*}] \neq 0$.

If $s'z = z's^*$ then by 1.2 we have $z = z'$ or $z = sz'$ and (a) follows from (i).

If $sz' \neq z's^* > z'$ then by 1.2 we have $z = sz's^*$ and (a) follows from (ii).

If $sz' \neq z's^* < z'$ then by 1.2 we have $z = z'$ or $z = sz's^*$ and (a) follows from (iii).

This proves (a).

We shall also need a variant of (a). Assume that $s \neq s'$ in S are such that $s' = s^*$, s, s' generate a finite (dihedral) subgroup of W and σ is the longest element in that subgroup. Then

(b) If $z, z' \in \mathbf{I}_*$ and $(a_z : T_\sigma a_{z'}) \neq 0$ then $[T_z : T_\sigma T_{z'}T_{\sigma^*}] \neq 0$;

(c) $(a_1 : T_\sigma a_1) = u^{l(\sigma)}$.

This can be proved using the results in [L1] which describe the action of T_σ on M.

For $(z, y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$ we set $c_y^z = (a_z : T_ya_1)$. We have the following result.

Proposition 1.7. *If $z \in \mathbf{I}_*, y \in W$ and $c_y^z \neq 0$ then $[T_z : T_yT_{y^{*-1}}] \neq 0$.*

We argue by induction on $l(y)$. If $y = 1$ the result is obvious. Assume now that $y \neq 1$. We can find $s \in S$ such that $sy < y$. We have

$$c_y^z = \sum_{z' \in \mathbf{I}^*} (a_z : T_sa_{z'})c_{sy}^{z'}.$$

By assumption there exists $z' \in \mathbf{I}^*$ such that $(a_z : T_sa_{z'}) \neq 0$ and $c_{sy}^{z'} \neq 0$. By 1.6(a) we then have $[T_z : T_sT_{z'}T_{s^*}] \neq 0$ and by the induction hypothesis we have $[T_{z'} : T_{sy}T_{y^{*-1}s^*}] \neq 0$. We have

$$[T_z : T_yT_{y^{*-1}}] = \sum_{w \in W} [T_z : T_sT_wT_{s^*}][T_w : T_{sy}T_{y^{*-1}s^*}].$$

This is a sum of terms in $\mathbf{N}[u-1]$ (the set of polynomials in $u-1$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{N}$) and the term corresponding to $w = z'$ is nonzero; hence the sum is nonzero. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 1.8. *For any $y \in W$ we have $X_y^1 = \delta_{y,y^*} u^{l(y)}$.*

From [L2, 10.4(a)] we have

(a) $$[T_1 : T_{y_1} T_{y_1^{*-1}}] = \delta_{y_1,y_1^*} u^{2l(y_1)} \text{ for any } y_1 \in W.$$

We prove the proposition by induction on $l(y)$. If $y = 1$ the result is obvious. Assume now that $y \neq 1$. We can write $y = \sigma y'$ where $\sigma, y' \in W$, $l(y) = l(\sigma) + l(y')$ and the following holds: if $y \neq y^*$ then $\sigma \in S$; if $y = y^*$ then there exists s, s' in S such that $s' = s^*$, s, s' generate a finite subgroup of W and σ is the longest element in that subgroup, so that $\sigma = \sigma^*$. (We use [L2, A1(a)].) By the induction hypothesis we have

$$T_{y'} a_1 = \delta_{y',y'^*} u^{l(y')} a_1 + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{I}_*, z \neq 1} c_{y'}^z a_z.$$

Since $T_y = T_\sigma T_{y'}$, it follows (using also (a)) that

(b) $$T_y a_1 = \delta_{y',y'^*} u^{l(y')} T_\sigma a_1 + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{I}_*, z \neq 1} c_{y'}^z T_\sigma a_z,$$

$$T_y T_{y^{*-1}} = \delta_{y',y'^*} u^{2l(y')} T_\sigma T_{\sigma^*} + \sum_{w \in W; w \neq 1} [T_w : T_{y'} T_{y'^{*-1}}] T_\sigma T_w T_{\sigma^*}.$$

Note that by (a) we have

$$[T_1 : T_\sigma T_{\sigma^*}] = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} u^{2l(\sigma)}.$$

Hence $\gamma := [T_1 : \delta_{y',y'^*} u^{2l(y')} T_\sigma T_{\sigma^*}]$ is $\delta_{y',y'^*} u^{2l(y')} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} u^{2l(\sigma)}$, that is $\delta_{y,y^*} u^{2l(y)}$. (If $y \neq y^*$ we have $\gamma = 0$ since we have either $\delta_{y',y'^*} = 0$ or $\delta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} = 0$. If $y = y^*$ then $\sigma = \sigma^*$ hence $y' = y'^*$ and $\gamma = u^{2l(y)}$.)

Assume that for some $z \in \mathbf{I}_* - \{1\}$, we have $c_{y'}^z \neq 0$ and $(a_1 : T_\sigma a_z) \neq 0$. Then, by 1.7 we have $[T_z : T_{y'} T_{y'^*}] \neq 0$. Moreover we have $[T_1 : T_\sigma T_z T_{\sigma^*}] \neq 0$. (When $\sigma \in S$ this follows from 1.6(a). When $s \notin S$ this follows from 1.6(b).) Thus, $\pi := [T_1 : \sum_{w \in W; w \neq 1} d_{y',y'^{*-1}}^w T_\sigma T_w T_{\sigma^*}]$ is a sum of terms in $\mathbf{N}[u-1]$, at least one of which is $\neq 0$, so that $\pi \in \mathbf{N}[u-1] - \{0\}$. Thus $[T_1 : T_y T_{y^{*-1}}] = \delta_{y,y^*} u^{2l(y)} + \pi$. By (a), $[T_1 : T_y T_{y^{*-1}}] = \delta_{y,y^*} u^{2l(y)}$. Thus, $\pi = 0$, a contradiction.

This contradiction shows that for any $z \in \mathbf{I}_* - \{1\}$ we have either $c_{y'}^z = 0$ or $(a_1 : T_\sigma a_z) = 0$. Hence from (b) we deduce that $(a_1 : T_y a_1) = (a_1 : \delta_{y',y'^*} u^{l(y')} T_\sigma a_1)$. We have

$$(a_1 : T_\sigma a_1) = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} u^{l(\sigma)}.$$

(When $\sigma \in S$ this follows from 1.1(i)-(iv). When $\sigma \notin S$ this follows from 1.6(c).) We deduce

$$(a_1 : T_y a_1) = \delta_{y',y'^*} u^{l(y')} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} u^{l(\sigma)} = \delta_{y',y'^*} u^{l(y')} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} u^{l(y)}.$$

It remains to show that

$$\delta_{y',y'^*}\delta_{\sigma,\sigma^*}=\delta_{y,y^*}. \tag{c}$$

If $y\neq y^*$ then we have either $y'\neq y'^*$ or $\sigma\neq\sigma^*$ hence both sides of (c) are zero. If $y=y^*$ then $\sigma=\sigma^*$ hence $y'=y'^*$ hence both sides of (c) are 1. Thus (c) holds. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 1.9. *We have $X_y^z\in u^{l(y)}\mathbf{Z}[u]$ for any $(z,y)\in\mathbf{I}_*\times W$.*

This follows by induction on $l(z)$ using 1.4(i)-(iv); to start the induction, we assume that $z=1$ in which case the result follows from 1.8.

1.10. For any $z\in\mathbf{I}_*$ we set

$$X^z=\sum_{y\in W}X_y^z\in\mathbf{Z}[[u]]. \tag{b}$$

Note that, by 1.9, the sum in the right hand side converges in $\mathbf{Z}[[u]]$. For $z\in\mathbf{I}_*$ we show:

$$X^z=\mathbf{P}_*(u)u^{l(z)}(\frac{u-1}{u+1})^{\phi(z)}. \tag{c}$$

We argue by induction on $l(z)$. If $z=1$ we have, using 1.8:

$$X^1=\sum_{y\in W;y=y^*}u^{l(y)}=\mathbf{P}_*(u).$$

Assume now that $z\neq 1$. We can find $s\in S$ such that $sz<z$. From 1.5 we deduce that $X^z=u^2X^{szs^*}$ if $sz\neq zs^*$ and $(u+1)X^z=(u^2-u)X^{sz}$ if $sz=zs^*$. Using the induction hypothesis we see that, if $sz\neq zs^*$ we have

$$X^z=\mathbf{P}_*(u)u^{l(szs^*)+2}(\frac{u-1}{u+1})^{\phi(szs^*)},$$

while if $sz=zs^*$ we have

$$X^z=\mathbf{P}_*(u)u^{l(sz)+1}(\frac{u-1}{u+1})^{\phi(sz)+1};$$

the desired result follows.

1.11. We prove Theorem 0.2. The sum $\sum_{(z,y)\in\mathbf{I}_*\times W}X_y^z$ is convergent in $\mathbf{Z}[[u]]$ since $X_y^z\in u^{\max(l(z),l(y))}\mathbf{Z}[u]$ (see Propositions 1.2 and 1.9). We can compute this sum in two different ways and we get the same result. Thus we have

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*}(\sum_{y\in W}X_y^z)=\sum_{y\in W}(\sum_{z\in\mathbf{I}_*}X_y^z).$$

By 1.3(b), the right hand side is equal to $\sum_{y\in W} u^{2l(y)} = \mathbf{P}(u^2)$. By 1.4(c), the left hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{z\in \mathbf{I}_*} \mathbf{P}_*(u)u^{l(z)}(\frac{u-1}{u+1})^{\phi(z)} = \mathbf{P}_*(u)\mathcal{R}(u).$$

Thus we have

$$\mathbf{P}(u^2) = \mathbf{P}_*(u)\mathcal{R}(u).$$

Theorem 0.2 is proved.

2. The case of Weyl groups

2.1. In this section we assume that W is the Weyl group of a connected adjoint simple algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined and split over the finite field $\mathbf{F}_p$ with p elements (p is a prime number); we identify $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{k})$ where $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Let $F : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$ be the "Frobenius map"; it is an abstract group isomorphism whose fixed point set is the group of $\mathbf{F}_p$-rational points of $\mathbf{G}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the variety of Borel subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$. The set of $\mathbf{G}$-orbits on $\mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B}$ (for the simultaneous conjugation action) are naturally indexed by W; we denote by $\mathcal{O}_w$ the $\mathbf{G}$-orbit indexed by $w \in W$. The length function $l : W \to \mathbf{N}$ has the property that for any $w \in W$ and any $C \in \mathcal{B}$, the algebraic variety $\{B \in \mathcal{B}; (C, B) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$ is an affine space over $\mathbf{k}$ of dimension $l(w)$. The subset S of W is then given by $\{s \in W; l(w) = 1\}$. If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $F(B) \in \mathcal{B}$; moreover, $B \mapsto F(B)$ is a bijection $F : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$.

For a finite set X we denote by $|X|$ the cardinal of X. If $X \subset X'$ are sets and $f : X' \to X'$ is a map such that $f(X) \subset X$ we set $X^f = \{x \in X; f(x) = x\}$.

2.2. Let $s \in S$. If $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ we write $B \sim_s B'$ if $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_s$. This is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{B}$. A subgroup P of $\mathbf{G}$ is said to be parabolic of type s if it is the union of all B in a fixed equivalence class for $\sim_s$. Let $\mathcal{P}_s$ be the set of parabolic subgroups of type s of $\mathbf{G}$. For $P \in \mathcal{P}_s$ we set $\mathcal{B}_P = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B \subset P\}$; this is a projective line over $\mathbf{k}$.

If $P \in \mathcal{P}_s$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then there is a unique element $y = \mathrm{pos}(B, P) \in W$ such that $y < ys$ and $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_y \cup \mathcal{O}_{ys}$ for any $B' \in \mathcal{B}_P$; moreover we have $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_y$ for a unique $B' \in \mathcal{B}_P$, denoted by P^B.

2.3. Let $s, s' \in S$ and let $P \in \mathcal{P}_s, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{s'}$. There is a unique element $y = \mathrm{pos}(P, P') \in W$ such that $y < sy, y < ys'$ and $(B, B') \in \mathcal{O}_y \cup \mathcal{O}_{sy} \cup \mathcal{O}_{ys'} \cup \mathcal{O}_{sys'}$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_P, B' \in \mathcal{B}_{P'}$. Assuming in addition that $sy = ys'$, the map $\mathcal{B}_P \to \mathcal{B}_{P'}$ given by $B \mapsto P'^B$ is an isomorphism of projective lines with inverse $B' \mapsto P^{B'}$.

2.4. We shall fix $C \in \mathcal{B}^F$. We assume that $*$ has the following property: we can find an involutive automorphism of algebraic groups $\iota : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$ such that:

ι commutes with $F : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$;

$\iota(C) = C$;

if $(B,B')\in\mathcal{O}_w$ then $(\iota(B),\iota(B'))\in\mathcal{O}_{w^*}$.

Note that ι defines an involution $\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}$ of algebraic varieties (denoted again by ι). It commutes with $F:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}$.

2.5. For $(z,y)\in\mathbf{I}_*\times W$ we set

$$\mathcal{X}_y^z=\{B\in\mathcal{B}^{F^2};(B,F(\iota(B)))\in\mathcal{O}_z,(C,B)\in\mathcal{O}_y\}.$$

This is a finite set.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(z,y)\in\mathbf{I}_*\times W$, $s\in S$. Assume that $sz<z$. We have*
(i) $(p+1)|\mathcal{X}_y^z|=-p|\mathcal{X}_y^{sz}|+|\mathcal{X}_{ys}^{sz}|$ if $sz=zs^*$, $y<ys$;
(ii) $(p+1)|\mathcal{X}_y^z|=p^2|\mathcal{X}_{ys}^{sz}|+(p^2-p-1)|\mathcal{X}_y^{sz}|$ if $sz=zs^*$, $y>ys$;
(iii) $|\mathcal{X}_y^z|=|\mathcal{X}_{ys}^{szs^*}|$ if $sz\neq zs^*$, $y<ys$;
(iv) $|\mathcal{X}_y^z|=p^2|\mathcal{X}_{ys}^{szs^*}|+(p^2-1)|\mathcal{X}_y^{szs^*}|$ if $sz\neq zs^*$, $y>ys$.

In the setup of (iii), (iv) we have $l(z)=l(s)+l(szs^*)+l(s^*)$ hence for any $B\in\mathcal{X}_y^z$ we have $(B,\beta_B)\in\mathcal{O}_s$, $(\beta_B,\beta'_B)\in\mathcal{O}_{szs^*}$, $(\beta'_B,F(\iota(B)))\in\mathcal{O}_{s^*}$ for uniquely defined β_B,β'_B in $\mathcal{B}$. It follows that $(B,F(\iota(\beta'_B)))\in\mathcal{O}_s$, $(F(\iota(\beta'_B)),F(\iota(\beta_B)))\in\mathcal{O}_{szs^*}$, $(F(\iota(\beta_B)),B)\in\mathcal{O}_{s^*}$ and from the uniqueness we see that $\beta'_B=F(\iota(\beta_B))$, $\beta_B=F(\iota(\beta'_B))$; hence $F^2(\beta_B)=\beta_B$.

Assume that we are in the setup of (iii). We have a bijection $\mathcal{X}_y^z\to\mathcal{X}_{ys}^{szs^*}$ given by $B\mapsto\beta_B$. Hence (iii) holds.

Assume that we are in the setup of (iv). We have a map $\mathcal{X}_y^z\to\mathcal{X}_y^{szs^*}\cup\mathcal{X}_{ys}^{szs^*}$ given by $B\mapsto\beta_B$. Its fibre over a point in $\mathcal{X}_y^{szs^*}$ has cardinal p^2-1 while its fibre over a point in $\mathcal{X}_{ys}^{szs^*}$ has cardinal p^2. We deduce that (iv) holds.

In the rest of the proof we assume that $sz=zs^*$. We set $y'=y$ if $y<ys$ and $y'=ys$ if $ys<y$. Let

$$Y=\{P\in\mathcal{P}_s;F^2(P)=P,\mathrm{pos}(P,F(\iota(P)))=sz,\mathrm{pos}(C,P)=y'\}.$$

For $P\in Y$ we have $P^C\in\mathcal{B}_P$, $(F(P))^C=F(P^C)\in\mathcal{B}_{F(P)}$.

We define a map $\mathcal{X}_{y'}^z\cup\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{sz}\cup\mathcal{X}_{y's}^z\cup\mathcal{X}_{y's}^{sz}\to Y$ by $B\mapsto P$ where $B\subset P\in\mathcal{P}_s$. The fibre of this map over $P\in Y$ is $\{\beta\in\mathcal{B}^{F^2};B\subset P\}$ hence it has cardinal p^2+1.

We define a bijection $\mathcal{X}_{y'}^z\cup\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{sz}\to Y$ by $B\mapsto P$ where $B\subset P\in\mathcal{P}_s$.

We define a map $\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{sz}\cup\mathcal{X}_{y's}^{sz}\to Y$ by $B\mapsto P$ where $B\subset P\in\mathcal{P}_s$. The fibre of this map over $P\in Y$ is $\{\beta\in\mathcal{B}^{F^2};\beta\subset P,(\beta,F(\beta))\in\mathcal{O}_{sz}\}$ which can be viewed as a set of $\mathbf{F}_p$-rational points of the projective line $\mathcal{B}_P$ with Frobenius map $\beta\mapsto P^{F(\beta)}$; hence it has cardinal $p+1$.

We see that
$|\mathcal{X}_{y'}^z|+|\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{sz}|+|\mathcal{X}_{y's}^z|+|\mathcal{X}_{y's}^{sz}|=(p^2+1)|Y|$,
$|\mathcal{X}_{y'}^z|+|\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{sz}|=|Y|$,
$|\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{sz}|+|\mathcal{X}_{y's}^{sz}|=(p+1)|Y|$.
Thus we have

$|\mathcal{X}^x_{y'}| + |\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{y'}| + |\mathcal{X}^z_{y's}| + |\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{y's}| = (p^2+1)(|\mathcal{X}^z_{y'}| + |\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{y'}|)$,
$|\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{y'}| + |\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{y's}| = (p+1)(|\mathcal{X}^z_{y'}| + |\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{y'}|)$.
This implies that (i),(ii) hold.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $(z,y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$, $s \in S$. Assume that $ys < y$. We have*
(i) $\mathcal{X}^z_y = p\mathcal{X}^z_{ys} + (p+1)\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{ys}$ if $sz = zs^* > z$;
(ii) $\mathcal{X}^z_y = (p^2-p-1)\mathcal{X}^z_{ys} + (p^2-p)\mathcal{X}^{sz}_{ys}$ if $sz = zs^* < z$;
(iii) $\mathcal{X}^z_y = \mathcal{X}^{szs^*}_{ys}$ if $sz \neq zs^* > z$;
(iv) $\mathcal{X}^z_y = (p^2-1)\mathcal{X}^z_{ys} + p^2\mathcal{X}^{szs^*}_{ys}$ if $sz \neq zs^* < z$.

The proposition is deduced from 2.6 in the same way as 1.4 was deduced from 1.2 (or rather in reverse).

Proposition 2.8. *For any $z \in \mathbf{I}_*$ we have $|\mathcal{X}^z_1| = \delta_{z,1}$.*

We have

$$\mathcal{X}^z_1 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}^{F^2}; (B, F(\iota(B))) \in \mathcal{O}_z, C = B\}.$$

Since $C = F(\iota(C))$ we have $(C, F(\iota(C))) \in \mathcal{O}_1$ hence $\mathcal{X}^z_1$ is a single point C if $z = 1$ and is empty if $z \neq 1$. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 2.9. *For any $y \in W$ we have $|\mathcal{X}^1_y| = \delta_{y,y^*} p^{l(y)}$.*

We have

$$\mathcal{X}^1_y = \{B \in \mathcal{B}^{F^2}; B = F(\iota(B)), (C,B) \in \mathcal{O}_y\}$$
$$= \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B = F(\iota(B)), (C,B) \in \mathcal{O}_y\}.$$

If $B \in \mathcal{X}^1_y$ then $(F(\iota(C)), F(\iota(B))) \in \mathcal{O}_{y^*}$ hence $(C,B) \in \mathcal{O}_{y^*}$ so that $y = y^*$. Thus, if $\mathcal{X}^1_y \neq \emptyset$ then $y = y^*$. Assume now that $y = y^*$. Consider the $l(y)$-dimensional affine space $\{B \in \mathcal{B}; (C,B) \in \mathcal{O}_y\}$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Since $y = y^*$, this affine space is stable under the map $B \mapsto F(\iota(B))$ which is the Frobenius map for an $\mathbf{F}_p$-rational structure whose fixed point set is exactly $\mathcal{X}^1_y$. It follows that $|\mathcal{X}^1_y| = p^{l(y)}$. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 2.10. *For any $(z,y) \in \mathbf{I}_* \times W$ we have $|\mathcal{X}^z_y| = X^z_y|_{u=p}$.*

By 1.2 and 2.8, the two sides of the equality in the proposition satisfy the same inductive formulas; they also satisfy the same initial conditions $|\mathcal{X}^z_1| = X^z_1|_{u=p} = \delta_{z,1}$ (see 2.8 and 1.2). Hence they are equal. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 2.11. *For any $y \in W$ we have $X^1_y = \delta_{y,y^*} u^{l(y)}$.*

Combining Propositions 2.9 and 2.10 we see that $X^1_y|_{u=p} = \delta_{y,y^*} u^{l(y)}|_{u=p}$. Since two polynomials in u which take equal values at any prime number are equal, we deduce that $X^1_y = \delta_{y,y^*} u^{l(y)}$. The proposition is proved.

Note that this proof gives an alternative approach to Proposition 1.8 in our case.

References

[L1] G.Lusztig, *A bar operator for involutions in a Coxeter group*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica (N.S.) **7** (2012), 355-404.

[L2] G.Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, arxiv:0208154,v2 (2014).

[LV] G.Lusztig and D.Vogan, *Hecke algebras and involutions in Weyl groups*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica(N.S.) **7** (2012), 323-354.

[MW] E.Marberg and G.White, *Variations of the Poincaré series for the affine Weyl groups and q-analogues of Chebyshev polynomials*, arXiv:1410.2772.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139